

State Responsibility

The General Part

Annexed to GA Resolution 56/83 of 2001, the International Law Commission's Articles on the Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts put the international law of responsibility on a sound footing. As Special Rapporteur for the second reading, James Crawford helped steer it to a successful conclusion. With this book, he provides a detailed analysis of the general law of international responsibility and the place of state responsibility in particular within that framework. It serves as a companion to *The International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility: Introduction, Text and Commentaries* (Cambridge University Press, 2002), and is essential reading for scholars and practitioners concerned with issues of international responsibility, whether they arise in interstate relations, in the context of arbitration or litigation or in bringing international claims.

James Crawford is **Whewell** Professor of International Law at the University of Cambridge and concurrently Reasarch Professor of law, Latrobe University. From 1997-2001 he was the ILC Special Rapporteur on State Responsibility.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal sub-disciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelations.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonization of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to 'foreign affairs', and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The series welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

General Editors

Iames Crawford SC FBA

Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, University

of Cambridge

John S. Bell FBA

Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



State Responsibility

The General Part

James Crawford





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107477780

© Iames Crawford 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013 3rd printing 2014 First paperback edition 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group Ltd, Croydon CRO 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication data

Crawford, James, 1948-

State responsibility : the general part / James Crawford SC, FBA, BA, LLB (Adel), DPhil (Oxon), LLD (Cantab), Whewell Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge, Former Member of the International Law Commission.

pages cm. – (Cambridge studies in international and comparative law: 100) ISBN 978-0-521-82266-4 (Hardback)

International obligations.
 Government liability (International law)
 Title. KZ4080.C73 2013
 341.26–dc23

2012049381

ISBN 978-0-521-82266-4 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-47778-0 Paperback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/crawford

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



And louder sing For every tatter in its mortal dress.

W. B. Yeats, 'Sailing to Byzantium'.





Contents

Pr	Preface and acknowledgements page x					
Αŀ	bbreviations					
Ta	ble of	cases		xxxii		
Ta	ble of	treaties	and other instruments	lv		
Re	ports	of Speci	al Rapporteurs	lxxiii		
	Par	t I Th	e framework of responsibility			
1	Hist	orical o	levelopment	3		
	1.1	Intro	luction	3		
	1.2	ations of responsibility in early international law				
		writir	ngs	4		
		1.2.1	Italian precursors of Grotius: Belli and Gentili	4		
		1.2.2	Grotius: civil law obligations with no equivalent			
			in the law of nations	8		
		1.2.3	Zouche: breaches of treaties	11		
		1.2.4	Pufendorf: a perfect system of natural law	12		
		1.2.5	Van Bynkershoek: the honour of the sovereign			
			and pacta sunt servanda	15		
		1.2.6	Wolff and Vattel: elements of a law on			
			responsibility	17		
	1.3	Ninet	eenth- and early-twentieth-century developments	20		
		1.3.1	Nineteenth-century writers: from Wheaton			
			to Heffter	20		
		1.3.2	The early twentieth century: Triepel and Anzilot	ti 22		
		1.3.3	Borchard and Eagleton and the legacy of			
			the Great War	24		

vii



viii		CONTENTS				
			The Hague Codification Conference of 1930	28		
		1.3.5		32		
			1.3.5.1 The 1929 Draft	32		
	1 1	T1	1.3.5.2 The 1961 Draft	34		
	1.4		work of the International Law Commission	35		
		1.4.1	O	35		
		1.4.2 1.4.3	The second reading: 1998–2001 Responses to the 2001 Articles	39 42		
		1110				
2	_	concep		45		
	2.1		nodern synthesis	45		
		2.1.1		45		
		2.1.2	1 1	49		
	2.2		anguage of state responsibility	51		
			Typology of state responsibility	51		
		2.2.2	Prerequisites for the invocation of responsibility	54		
			2.2.2.1 The debate over 'damage' and 'injury'	54		
			2.2.2.2 The principle of 'objective responsibility'	60		
		2.2.3	'Delictual capacity'	62		
		2.2.4	'Responsibility' and 'liability'	62		
		2.2.5	Rights and obligations	64		
			2.2.5.1 'Primary' and 'secondary' obligations	64		
			2.2.5.2 'Obligations erga omnes' and			
			related concepts	66		
	2.3	Invoca	ation and admissibility	67		
		2.3.1	Invocation of state responsibility: formal			
			requirements	67		
		2.3.2	Certain questions as to the admissibility of claims	68		
			2.3.2.1 Nationality of claims	69		
			2.3.2.2 Exhaustion of local remedies	69		
			2.3.2.3 Waiver	70		
			2.3.2.4 Acquiescence	72		
	2.4	Diplo	matic protection and its analogues	74		
		2.4.1	Public and private rights in international law	74		
		2.4.2	The institution of diplomatic protection	75		
		2.4.3				
			organizations or third states)	77		
			2.4.3.1 International organizations	77		
			2.4.3.2 Nationals of third states	78		



			CONTENTS	ix
	2.5	Respo	onsibility of non-state actors	79
		2.5.1	Individual criminal responsibility	79
		2.5.2	Corporate criminal responsibility	80
		2.5.3	International law claims against individuals	
			and groups	81
	2.6	Respo	nsibility and accountability	83
	2.7	The n	nodern synthesis and its future	85
		2.7.1	Governmental criticisms	85
		2.7.2	Scholarly criticisms	87
		2.7.3	A convention on state responsibility?	90
3	Coro	ollaries	of breach of an international obligation	93
	3.1	Introd	luction	93
	3.2	Breac	h of obligation and its corollaries	94
		3.2.1	The components of a breach	94
		3.2.2	The substantive corollary: reparation	94
		3.2.3	The procedural corollary: claim and	
			countermeasure	95
		3.2.4	Dispute settlement in claims of responsibility	95
	3.3	The a	pplicable law in claims of responsibility	99
		3.3.1	Overview	99
		3.3.2	International law as the governing law	100
		3.3.3	1 1 1	
			regimes'	103
		3.3.4	Claims of responsibility arising under peremptory	
			norms	106
		3.3.5	State responsibility and the United Nations	
			Charter	106
		3.3.6	3	
			wrongful acts	108
	Part	: II At	ttribution to the state	
4	Oro	ans and	l entities exercising governmental authority	113
T	4.1		ral principles of attribution	113
	1.1	4.1.1	The process of attribution and its discontents	113
		4.1.2	Bases of attribution in the ARSIWA	115
	4.2		as of the state	116
	-	4.2.1	Responsibility for the acts of state organs	116
			1	0



X		CONTE	NTS			
		4.2.2	Defining an 'organ'	118		
			4.2.2.1 The executive	119		
			4.2.2.2 The legislature	120		
			4.2.2.3 The judiciary	121		
			4.2.2.4 Federal and other internal subdivisions	123		
		4.2.3	De facto organs	124		
	4.3	Entiti	es exercising governmental authority	126		
		4.3.1	Attribution of acts of private parties	126		
		4.3.2	Defining 'governmental authority'	129		
		4.3.3	'Empowered by the law' of the state	132		
	4.4	Organ	ns placed at the disposal of another state	132		
		4.4.1	Defining 'disposal'	133		
		4.4.2	Additional requirements	135		
	4.5	Ultra 1	vires and personal acts	136		
5	Direction or control by the state					
	5.1	Devel	opment of the law	141		
		5.1.1	Early cases	141		
		5.1.2	The work of the International Law Commission	143		
	5.2	2 Persons acting on state instruction				
	5.3	Person	ns acting under direction or control	146		
		5.3.1	'Effective control' versus 'overall control'	146		
		5.3.2	Nicaragua	147		
		5.3.3	Tadić	149		
			5.3.3.1 The Trial Chamber	149		
			5.3.3.2 The Appeals Chamber	151		
		5.3.4	Bosnian Genocide	154		
	5.4	State	responsibility and terrorism	156		
		5.4.1	The alleged inadequacy of the effective control test	156		
		5.4.2	Alternate bases of state responsibility with			
			respect to terrorism	157		
	5.5	Attrib	oution and state-owned corporations	161		
6	Oth	Other cases of attribution				
	6.1	Introd	luction	166		
	6.2	Exerc	ise of governmental authority in the absence			
		of the	state	166		
		6.2.1	Foundations of ARSIWA Article 9	166		
		6.2.2	Operation of the provision	168		



		CONTENTS	xi
6.3	Respo	nsibility for the acts of insurgents	170
	6.3.1	ARSIWA Article 10 in the International Law	
		Commission	170
	6.3.2	Responsibility where the insurgency creates	
		a new government	174
	6.3.3	Responsibility where the insurgency creates	
		a new state	176
	6.3.4	Responsibility where the insurgency fails	179
6.4	Ex pos	t facto adoption of conduct	181
	6.4.1	ARSIWA Article 11 in the International Law	
		Commission	181
	6.4.2	Judicial consideration of ex post facto adoption	182
		6.4.2.1 The Lighthouses Arbitration	182
		6.4.2.2 The Eichmann case	183
		6.4.2.3 The Tehran Hostages case	183
		6.4.2.4 The Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros case	186
	6.4.3	The operation of ARSIWA Article 11	187
6.5	State	organs and international organizations	188
	6.5.1	Early theory and practice of international	
		organizations	190
		Peacekeeping policy and DARIO Article 7	193
	6.5.3	Judicial consideration of contributing state	
		responsibility	197
		6.5.3.1 Behrami and Saramati	197
		6.5.3.2 Al-Jedda	200
	6.5.4	The standard of 'effective control'	203
		6.5.4.1 Defining the basic concept	203
		6.5.4.2 Positive and negative conceptions of	
		'control': the Dutchbat case	205
	6.5.5		
		secondary responsibility with regard to	
		international organizations	210
Part	III B	reach	
Brea	ich: the	e material element	215
7.1		luction	215
7.1		utonomy of the primary obligation	216
7.2		and omissions	217
7.4		lassification of obligations	219

7



xii	CONTENTS					
		7.4.1	Obligati	ions of conduct and result	220	
		7.4.2	Due dili	igence and obligations of prevention	226	
		7.4.3	Substan	tive and procedural obligations	232	
	7.5	Specif	ic issues		232	
		7.5.1	Anticipa	atory breach	233	
		7.5.2	Illusory	obligations	235	
		7.5.3	'Non-vio	plation complaints'	238	
8	Brea	ich: the	e tempora	al element	240	
	8.1	Introd	luction		240	
	8.2	The intertemporal law			241	
				tions of the principle	241	
				Island of Palmas and Huber's restatement	241	
			8.2.1.2	The intertemporal law and the law of		
				treaties	242	
			8.2.1.3	The intertemporal law and the ILC's		
				work on responsibility	244	
		8.2.2	Some q	ualifications	245	
			8.2.2.1	Retrospective acceptance of responsibility	245	
			8.2.2.2	Evolutive interpretation of treaties	246	
			8.2.2.3	New peremptory norms	250	
		8.2.3	Termin	ation of the primary obligation	251	
	8.3	Duration of the breach				
		8.3.1	Instanta	aneous breaches	254	
		8.3.2	Continu	ing breaches	258	
			8.3.2.1	The concept of continuing breach	258	
			8.3.2.2	The distinction between instantaneous		
				and continuing breach	262	
			8.3.2.3	Completion of the act	264	
		8.3.3	Compos	site breaches	265	
			8.3.3.1	The character of the composite breach	265	
			8.3.3.2	Determining the scope of the composite		
				breach	268	
		8.3.4	Comple	x breaches	269	
9	Circ	umstar	ices prec	luding wrongfulness	274	
	9.1		_	nd excuses in international law	274	
		9.1.1		egory of 'circumstances precluding		
			wrongfi		274	
		9.1.2	Termin	ation and suspension of obligations	281	



				CONTENTS	xiii
	9.2	Gener	al jı	ıstifications and excuses	283
		9.2.1	-		283
		9.2.2	Sel	lf-defence	289
		9.2.3	Co	untermeasures	292
		9.2.4	For	rce majeure	295
		9.2.5		•	301
		9.2.6	Ne	cessity	305
	9.3			uestions	315
			_	ligations arising under peremptory norms	315
				mpensation for material loss	318
				e burden of proof	320
	Par	t IV (Coll	ective or ancillary responsibility	
10	Res	ponsib	ility	in cases of joint or collective conduct	325
10	10.	-		action	325
	10.	10.1		Historical background: the work of the ILC	0_0
		2012		and the Institut	326
		10.1	1.2	The influence of private law analogies	328
	10.			nd collective conduct by states	333
		10.2		•	
				independent responsibility	333
		10.2	2.2		
				exceptions to the principle of independent	
				responsibility	336
		10.2	2.3	-	339
		10.2			341
	10.3			et within the framework of international	
				ations	343
	10.4	_		e questions	354
		_		Guarantees and indemnities	354
				Contribution between persons collectively	
				responsible	355
		10.4	1.3	International claims involving conduct of	
				private parties	358
11		_	_	for breaches of communitarian norms	362
	11.			action	362
	11.			ernational Law Commission's compromise	365
	11.3	3 Invo	ocat	ion of communitarian norms	370



xiv CONTENTS

				een communitarian and other norms	376
	11.5		non and cor otory norms	nsequences of breaches of	378
		11.5.1	•	oeremptory norms	378
		11.5.1		nces of the serious breach of	3/6
		11.5.2	peremptor		380
				The obligation of non-recognition	381
				The obligation of non-assistance	385
				<u> </u>	386
	11.0	Eutumo		The obligation of co-operation	
	11.6		prospects	rimes of state	389
	EXCU	rsus: IIIu	ernational C	Times of state	390
12	Ancil	lary and	secondary	responsibility	395
	12.1	Respon	sibility of st	tates for the conduct of other states	
		and int	ernational (organizations	395
		12.1.1	The scope	of ancillary or secondary	
			responsibi	lity	395
		12.1.2	The standa	ards of direction and control and	
			coercion		397
	12.2	Aid or	assistance i	n the commission of a breach	399
		12.2.1	ILC develo	pment and customary status	400
		12.2.2	Defining 'a	aid or assistance'	401
			12.2.2.1	The terms of ARSIWA Article 16	401
			12.2.2.2	Omissions as 'aid or assistance'	403
			12.2.2.3	'Aid or assistance': general	
				principles?	405
		12.2.3	The 'subje	ctive' element of complicity	405
		12.2.4	Complicity	y and the pacta tertiis rule	409
		12.2.5		y and international organizations	410
	12.3	Direction		rol over another state or	
		interna	tional orga	nization	412
		12.3.1	_	and relevance of ARSIWA Article 17	412
		12.3.2	_	direction and control'	414
		12.3.3	_	considerations	416
				Knowledge and opposability of	
				obligation	416
				Responsibility of the directed state	417
		12.3.4		and control of an international	/
		12.0.1	organizati		417
			515min2dti	~	/



				CONTENTS	XV		
	12.4	Coercio	on of a sta	te or international organization	419		
		12.4.1	Defining	'coercion'	419		
		12.4.2	Other co	nsiderations	421		
		12.4.3	Coercion	of an international organization	422		
	12.5	Membe	er state res	sponsibility for the acts of			
		interna	itional org	anizations	422		
		12.5.1	Responsi	bility of member states	423		
			12.5.1.1	The basic rule	423		
			12.5.1.2	Judicial confirmation of the basic rule	424		
		12.5.2	Exception	ns to the basic rule	428		
			12.5.2.1	Acceptance of responsibility	428		
			12.5.2.2	Injured party reliance	429		
		12.5.3	Abuse of	the separate personality of			
			internati	onal organizations	430		
13	Succession to responsibility						
	13.1						
	13.2	The ne	The negative succession rule				
			_	y authorities	437		
			-	and criticisms of the negative			
			successio	_	438		
	13.3	Rebutting the negative succession rule: judicial					
		Rebutting the negative succession rule: judicial consideration					
		13.3.1	Lighthous	es Arbitration	443		
		13.3.2	_	íkovo-Nagymaros case	446		
	13.4	Rebutt	gative succession rule: modern state				
		practic	_		447		
		13.4.1	The role	of state practice	447		
		13.4.2		on where the predecessor ceases			
			to exist	•	448		
			13.4.2.1	The reunification of Germany	449		
			13.4.2.2				
				Federal Republic of Yugoslavia	451		
		13.4.3	Successio	on where the predecessor continues			
			to exist	•	452		
			13.4.3.1	Separation from the Union of Soviet			
				Socialist Republics	452		
			13.4.3.2	The independence of Namibia	453		
	13.5	Conclu		•	455		



xvi CONTENTS

Part V Cessation and reparation

14	Restoration of legal relations after breach 14.1 Introduction				
	14.1	14.1 Introduction			
	14.2	Legal e	ffects of the breach	460	
		14.2.1	The applicable secondary obligations	460	
		14.2.2	The continuation of the primary obligation	461	
	14.3	on	461		
		14.3.1		462	
		14.3.2			
			continued duty of performance	464	
		14.3.3	The distinction between cessation and restitution		
	14.4	Assura	nces and guarantees against repetition	469	
		14.4.1	The status of the obligation to offer assurances		
			and guarantees	469	
		14.4.2			
			guarantees of non-repetition and reparation	475	
		14.4.3	Appropriate assurances and guarantees	476	
15	Repar	ation		480	
10	15.1		sic principle	480	
	15.2				
	10.2	15.2.1		481 481	
		15.2.2	-	483	
	15.3		tion of injury	485	
		15.3.1	Injury defined	485	
		15.3.2		486	
		15.3.3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	487	
	15.4		ons of causation	492	
		15.4.1		492	
		15.4.2	_	494	
		15.4.3	Concurrent causes	495	
			15.4.3.1 The applicable principles	495	
			15.4.3.2 Hard cases	498	
		15.4.4		500	
	15.5	Pluralit	ty of injured states	50 3	
16	Reme	dios		506	
10	16.1		k between reparation and remedies	506	
	10.1	16.1.1	The available forms of reparation	506	
		10.1.1	THE available lutins of reparation	200	



		CONTENTS	xvi
	16.1.2	The right of election	508
	16.1.3	A hierarchy of reparation?	509
16.2	Restitu	2 2	510
	16.2.1	The basic principle	510
	16.2.2	The forms of restitution	511
	16.2.3	Limitations on the availability of restitution	512
		16.2.3.1 Material impossibility	512
		16.2.3.2 Disproportionate burden	514
	16.2.4	A flexible approach	515
16.3		nsation	516
	16.3.1	The basic principle	516
	16.3.2	The practice of the Permanent and	
		International Courts	518
		Quantification of damage	519
		Loss of profits	522
	16.3.5	Punitive damages	523
16.4	Satisfa		527
		The basic principle	527
		Forms of satisfaction	527
		Judicial declarations	529
	16.4.4	Limitations on the availability of satisfaction	530
16.5	Interes		531
		The basic principle	531
	16.5.2	Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest	
		distinguished	533
		The period for which interest is payable	534
	16.5.4	The rate of interest and mode of calculation	536
Part V	I The i	implementation of responsibility	
The c	laims pi	ocess	541
	-	ment to claim	541
17.1		The injured state	542
		Other injured parties	548
	17.1.3	Concerned states or other entities	549
17.2		ocess of claim	553
17.3	-	the right to invoke responsibility	557
1,.5	17.3.1	Waiver and acquiescence	558
	17.3.2	Lapse of time	560
	17.3.3	Adjudication and settlement	563
		Effects on related or derivative claims	563
			- 50

17



xviii contents

18	Claims on behalf of others: diplomatic and functional protection 56					
	18.1					
	18.2		natic protection: an overview	566 567		
	10.2	18.2.1 The character and role of diplomatic				
		10.2.1	protection	568		
		18.2.2	Title to protect: the nationality of claims	573		
		18.2.3	-	580		
	18.3		natic protection and individual and state	300		
	10.5	-	584			
		invocat 18.3.1		585		
		18.3.2	•	587		
			Other fields	592		
	10 /		onal protection by international organizations	332		
	18.4		ird states	FOS		
		and tin	ird states	593		
19	Implementation of responsibility by judicial process					
	19.1					
	19.2					
		19.2.1		599 599		
		19.2.2	The distinction between cause of action and			
			applicable law	603		
		19.2.3	Jurisdiction over counterclaims	609		
	19.3	-				
	19.4					
	13.1	The claimant's right to elect and election in	621			
		19.4.1	the course of proceedings	621		
		19.4.2	1 0	624		
		19.4.3		626		
	19.5		natic remedies arising from individual cases	629		
	19.5.1 The ECHR: <i>Broniowski</i> and its progeny					
		19.5.2	Class actions in investment arbitration:	630		
		17.5.2	Abaclat v. Argentina	637		
		19.5.3	Compensation as an incident of criminal	057		
		19.5.5	proceedings	641		
			proceedings	041		
20	Invocation in cases involving multiple parties					
	20.1					
	20.2	Multiple claimants				



				CONTENTS	xix	
	20.3	Multiple respondents				
	20.4					
	20.5	The Mo	The Monetary Gold principle: 'indispensable' third states			
		20.5.1		e of the principle	657	
			20.5.1.1	The Monetary Gold jurisprudence	658	
			20.5.1.2	Uncertainty of application	660	
			20.5.1.3	Further questions of scope	664	
			20.5.1.4	Conclusion	665	
		20.5.2	Possible (exceptions	666	
			20.5.2.1	Defunct states	666	
			20.5.2.2	Criminal prosecution	667	
			20.5.2.3	Waiver	668	
	20.6	Other _J	procedural	incidents	669	
		20.6.1	Joinder o	of claims	669	
		20.6.2	The com	position of the bench	671	
		20.6.3	The rule	against double recovery	673	
21	Implementation of responsibility by extrajudicial process					
	21.1	Overview				
	21.2		eral self-help measures			
		21.2.1			676	
		21.2.2	1 1 1			
			-	ti contractus)	678	
		21.2.3	-	on of obligations	682	
		21.2.4		neasures by an injured state	684	
			21.2.4.1	The scope and object of		
			04.0.4.0	countermeasures	686	
			21.2.4.2		688	
				Proportionality Procedural incidents of	697	
			21.2.4.4		5 00	
			04.0.4.5	countermeasures	700	
		2125	21.2.4.5		702	
	24.2	21.2.5		e countermeasures	703	
	21.3	.3 Issues of responsibility in the Security Council and other international forums				
		otner 1	nternation	ai iorums	706	
	pendic				712	
App	endix			the Responsibility of States for		
		Inte	rnationally	Wrongful Acts (2001)	712	



XX CONTENTS

Appendix 2	General Assembly resolutions concerning the Articles on State Responsibility for	
	Internationally Wrongful Acts	724
General A	ssembly Resolution 56/83, 12 December 2001	724
General A	ssembly Resolution 59/35, 2 December 2004	725
General A	ssembly Resolution 62/61, 6 December 2007	726
General A	ssembly Resolution 65/19, 6 December 2010	727
Appendix 3	ILC Draft Articles on Diplomatic Protection (2006)	728
Appendix 4	ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility (as proposed by F. V. García-Amador, 1961)	733
Appendix 5	ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility (as adopted on first reading, 1996)	743
Appendix 6	ILC Draft Articles on Responsibility of International Organizations (2011)	761
Bibliography		778
Index		808



Preface and acknowledgements

This book grows out of work I was privileged to do as the International Law Commission's fifth and final Special Rapporteur on State Responsibility. The State Responsibility project was conceived in the 1920s and was one focus of the League of Nations Conference for the Codification of International Law held at The Hague in 1930. That conference failed to produce any results. Following the creation of the International Law Commission (ILC) in 1948, the subject was identified as one of the initial topics for codification, and work on it resumed in 1955. Again, it got nowhere. The subject was reconceived under the inspired leadership of Roberto Ago in 1962, worked on (at times intensively, at times sporadically) in the period 1963-96 under Special Rapporteurs Ago, Riphagen and Arangio-Ruiz, and completed on first reading in 1996. The second reading process was completed during the years 1998-2001. It saw as its immediate outcome UN General Assembly Resolution 56/83 of 12 December 2001, which annexed the text of the ILC Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (hereafter ARSIWA or 'the ILC Articles') and 'commend[ed] them to the attention of Governments without prejudice to the question of their future adoption or other appropriate action'. Three years later there was a further debate, leading to the adoption by consensus of General Assembly Resolution 59/ 35 on 2 December 2004, again deferring any decision on the final form of the Articles; similar debates occurred in 2007 and 2010. The texts of these resolutions form Appendix 2. The text of the ILC Articles themselves forms Appendix 1.

Subsequently the ILC moved to projects on diplomatic protection (completed in 2006) and the responsibility of international organizations (completed in 2010).

xxi



XXII PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

So far as the responsibility of states is concerned, the present book covers much the same subject matter as the ILC Articles. It is intended to provide an analysis and critical review of the present law and its functioning. Inevitably, there were many things the ILC did not say which need to be said, including matters where the ILC declined to deal with various issues – for example, the responsibility of states for the acts of international organizations.

In addition, this book covers certain issues of dispute settlement in relation to responsibility, and discusses questions concerning the connection between institutions and rules of responsibility, including the connection between action taken by international organizations, for example by the UN Security Council under Chapter VII of the UN Charter, and the link between the rules of responsibility and judicial settlement, for example in the context of countermeasures.

Like the ILC's work, this book does not attempt (except incidentally) to elaborate on the content of the primary international obligations breach of which gives rise to responsibility. It thus accepts the distinction between primary and secondary obligations, if not as fundamental then at least as a working device to limit the scope of the subject. The distinction itself and the criticisms that have been made of it are explored in Chapter 2.

Nor does this book examine in detail special features of the working of particular regimes in the field of responsibility (e.g. the human rights regimes, the World Trade Organization), except where this is useful in order to illustrate or shed light on the general law.

The book incorporates certain material from the author's four Reports on State Responsibility and other writings. But the attempt has been made to synthesise this material, together with subsequent developments and government comments on the ILC's work and to reflect on the resulting debate. At the same time, the work seeks to place the rules of state responsibility within the framework and dynamic of international law.

* * *

A large number of people have contributed to this work, directly or indirectly. They include my colleagues on the ILC in the years 1998–2001 – especially the four chairs of the Drafting Committee, Bruno Simma, Enrique Candioti, Giorgio Gaja and Peter Tomka, and others including John Dugard, Gerhard Hafner, Djamchid Momtaz, my ever faithful adversary and friend Alain Pellet, Robert Rosenstock, Bernardo Sepúlveda, Chusei Yamada and the late Ian Brownlie. On the Secretariat,



PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS XXIII

Mahnoush Arsanjani, Virginia Morris and Arnold Pronto were unfailingly helpful. My New York University interns were terrific – especially Jacqueline Peel and Simon Olleson. The former helped greatly with the commentaries; the latter became a constant professional companion. At Cambridge I had a stream of bright and lively graduate students who stayed on for a year or so as associates. Those who helped with this book were Anna Cowan, Callista Harris, Cameron Miles, Rowan Nicholson, Federica Paddeu and Tiina Pajuste. At Cambridge University Press, Finola O'Sullivan was patience personified. My thanks to all of them.



Abbreviations

AC Appeal Cases (UK)

ACHR American Convention on Human Rights, 22

November 1969, OAS Treaty Series No. 36

ADP Articles on Diplomatic Protection, ILC Report 2006,

UN Doc. A/61/10

ADP Commentary Commentary to Articles on Diplomatic Protection,

ILC Report 2006, UN Doc. A/61/10

AFDI Annuaire français de droit international
AJIL American Journal of International Law
AJIL Spec. Supp. American Journal of International Law Special

Supplement

AJLH Australian Journal of Legal History

Ann. de l'Inst. Annuaire de l'Institut de droit international

APD Archives de Philosophie du Droit

APIL Australian Practice in International Law

(as published in the Australian Yearbook of

International Law)

Arb. Int. Arbitration International

ARSIWA ILC Articles on the Responsibility of States

for Internationally Wrongful Acts, ILC

Ybk 2001/II(2), 26

ARSIWA Commentary to the ILC Articles on Responsibility of Commentary States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, ILC Ybk

2001/II(2) 31, reprinted in J. Crawford (ed.), The International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility: Introduction, Text and Commentaries

(Cambridge University Press, 2002)

ASIL American Society of International Law

xxiv



ABBREVIATIONS

XXV

ASIL Proc. American Society of International Law Proceedings

AU African Union

Austrian RIEL Austrian Review of International and European Law

AYIL Australian Year Book of International Law Berk, IIL Berkeley Journal of International Law

Bevans Treaties and Other International Agreements of the

United States of America, 1776-1949, compiled

under the direction of C. I. Bevans

BFSP British and Foreign State Papers
BGBl Bundesgesetzblatt Jahrgang (Germany)

Brownlie's Principles J. Crawford, Brownlie's Principles of Public International

Law (8th edn, Oxford University Press, 2012)

BUILI Boston University International Law Journal

BVerfGE Bundesverfassungsgerichts (Federal Constitutional

Court, Germany)

BVerwG Bundesverwaltungsgericht (Federal Administrative

Court, Germany)

BYIL British Yearbook of International Law

Cal. Rptr West's California Reporter

Calif. LR California Law Review

Can. YIL Canadian Yearbook of International Law
CCM Convention on Cluster Munitions
Chicago JIL Chicago Journal of International Law
Chin. JIL Chinese Journal of International Law
CILI Cornell International Law Journal

CILSA Comparative and International Law Journal of

Southern Africa

CLF Criminal Law Forum
Col. LR Columbia Law Review

Conn. JIL Connecticut Journal of International Law

CPIL Canadian Practice in International Law (as published

in the Canadian Yearbook of International Law)

CPUSIL Contemporary Practice of the United States Relating

to International Law (as published in the American

Journal of International Law)

Crawford, First J. Crawford, First Report on State Responsibility, ILC

Report Ybk 1998/II(1), 1

Report ILC Ybk 1999/II(1), 3



XXVI ABBREVIATIONS

Crawford, Third	I. Crawford.	Third Report or	n State Resp	onsibility.
Ciawioia, illiia	J. Clawlola,	Tima report of	i otate resp	onsibility,

Report ILC Ybk 2000/II(1), 3

Crawford, Fourth J Crawford, Fourth Report on State Responsibility,

Report ILC Ybk 2001/II(1), 1

CTS Consolidated Treaty Series

DARIO Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International

Organizations, ILC Report 2011, UN Doc. A/66/10, 52

DARIO Commentary to the Draft Articles on the

Commentary Responsibility of International Organizations, ILC

Report 2011, UN Doc. A/66/10, 67

Dep. State Bull. Department of State Bulletin (US)

DJILP Denver Journal of International Law and Policy

Draft Articles ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for

Internationally Wrongful Acts, as adopted in 1996,

ILC Ybk 1996/II(2), 58

Draft Articles Commentary to the ILC Draft Articles on the Commentary Responsibility of States for Internationally

Wrongful Acts, as adopted in 1996:

Arts. 1–35 S. Rosenne (ed.), The International

Law Commission's Draft Articles on State Responsibility: Part 1, Articles

1-35 (Dordrecht: Martinus

ILC Ybk 1995/II(2), 75

Nijhoff, 1991)

Art. 36(1) ILC Ybk 1983/II(2), 42 Art. 36(2) ILC Ybk 1993/II(2), 54 Arts. 37–9 ILC Ybk 1983/II(2), 42 Art. 40 ILC Ybk 1985/II(2), 25 ILC Ybk 1993/II(2), 55 Art. 41 Art. 42(1)–(2), (4) ILC Ybk 1993/II(2), 58 ILC Ybk 1996/II(2), 65 Art. 42(3) Arts. 43-6 ILC Ybk 1993/II(2), 61 Arts. 47–8 ILC Ybk 1996/II(2), 65 Arts. 49–50 ILC Ybk 1995/II(2), 64 Arts. 51–3 ILC Ybk 1996/II(2), 65

DRC Democratic Republic of the Congo

Arts. 54-60

Duke JCIL Duke Journal of Comparative and International Law



ABBREVIATIONS XXVII

ECHR European Convention for the Protection of Human

Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, 4 November

1950, 213 UNTS 221

ECommHR Ybk Yearbook of the European Commission of Human Rights

ECommHR European Commission of Human Rights

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights
EECC Eritrea—Ethiopia Claims Commission
EHRLR European Human Rights Law Review
EJIL European Journal of International Law

EPL Environmental Policy and Law ETS European Treaty Series

EWHC England and Wales High Court F.3d Federal Reporter, Third Series (US)

F.Supp. Federal Supplement (US)

GA United Nations General Assembly

Genocide Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Convention the Crime of Genocide, 9 December 1948, 78 UNTS

2.77

Geo. Wash. ILR George Washington International Law Review

GST Transactions of the Grotius Society
GYIL German Yearbook of International Law

Hague Recueil Recueil des cours de l'Académie de droit international Hastings ICLR Hastings International and Comparative Law Review

HHRJ Harvard Human Rights Journal
HILJ Harvard International Law Journal
HILR Harvard International Law Review
Hous. JIL Houston Journal of International Law

HRLJ Human Rights Law Journal HRLR Human Rights Law Review

IACtHR Inter-American Court of Human Rights
IBRD International Bank of Reconstruction and

Development (World Bank)
International Criminal Court

ICC Statute Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court,

17 July 1998, 2187 UNTS 3

ICI International Court of Justice

ICJ Reports Reports of Judgments, Advisory Opinions and

Orders of the International Court of Justice

ICC



XXVIII ABBREVIATIONS

ICJ Statute Statute of the International Court of Justice, 26 June

1945, 15 UNCIO 355

ICLQ International and Comparative Law Quarterly
ICSID International Centre for the Settlement of

Investment Disputes

ICSID Convention Convention on the Settlement of Investment

Disputes between States and Nationals of Other

States, 18 March 1965, 575 UNTS 159

ICSID Rev. International Centre for the Settlement of Investment

Disputes Review

ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former

Yugoslavia

IDI Institute of International Law (Institut de droit

international)

IELR International Environmental Law Reports
IJGLS Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies

IL International Lawyer

ILA International Law Association

ILA Rep. Conf. International Law Association, Report of Conference

ILC International Law Commission

ILC Report Annual Report of the International Law Commission
ILC Ybk Yearbook of the International Law Commission

ILDC Oxford Reports on International Law, International

Law in Domestic Courts

ILM International Legal Materials
ILP International Law and Politics
ILR International Law Reports

IOLR International Organizations Law Review Iran-US CTR Iran-US Claims Tribunal Reports

Is. LR Israel Law Review

Is. YBHR Israel Yearbook of Human Rights

ITLOS International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

JDI Journal du droit international

JICJ Journal of International Criminal Justice
JIEL Journal of International Economic Law
JORF Journal officiel de la République française
JWIT Journal of World Investment and Trade

JWT Journal of World Trade



ABBREVIATIONS XXIX

KGZ Kokusaihō gaikō zasshi

LJIL Leiden Journal of International Law

LJN Landelijk Jurisprudentie Nummer (Netherlands)

LNOJ League of Nations, Official Journal
LNTS League of Nations Treaty Series

McNair, Opinions A. D. McNair, 1–3 International Law Opinions (1956)

Md LR Maryland Law Review

Mich. JIL Michigan Journal of International Law

Mich. LR Michigan Law Review

MJIL Melbourne Journal of International Law

Moore, Int. Arb. J. B. Moore, History and Digest of the International

Arbitrations to which the United States has been a Party

(1898), 6 vols.

MPEPIL R. Wolfrum (gen. ed.), The Max Planck Encyclopedia of

Public International Law (Oxford University Press,

2012), available at www.mpepil.com

MULR Melbourne University Law Review
NGO non-governmental organization
NILR Netherlands International Law Review
NJW Neue Juristische Wochenschrift (Germany)
Nordic IIL Nordic Journal of International Law

NRG Nouveau Recueil Général de traités et autres actes relatifs

aux rapports de droit international

NYIL Netherlands Yearbook of International Law
OAS Organization of American States
OAU Organization for African Unity

ODIL Ocean Development and International Law
OJ Official Journal (European Union)

Ok. LR Oklahoma Law Review

PAPhS Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society

PAriS Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society
PBA Proceedings of the British Academy
PCA Permanent Court of Arbitration

PCIJ Publications of the Permanent Court of

International Justice

Pol. YIL Polish Yearbook of International Law

Pub. Ad. Public Administration

QB Law Reports, Queen's Bench (United Kingdom)

RBDI Revue belge de droit international



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-82266-4 - State Responsibility: The General Part

James Crawford Frontmatter More information

XXX ABBREVIATIONS

RDI Rivista di diritto internazionale

RDIPP Rivista di diritto internazionale privato e processuale RDISDP Revue de droit international, de sciences diplomatiques et

politiques

Rec. Lebon Recueil des arrêts du Conseil d'État (France)

REDI Revue égyptienne de droit international

RGDIP Revue générale de droit international public (Paris)
RIAA United Nations Reports of International Arbitral

Awards

RJDA Recueil de jurisprudence du droit administratif et du

Conseil d'Etat

Rome Statute Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court,

17 July 1998, 2187 UNTS 3

RQDI Revue Québécoise de Droit International
RSDIE Revue Suisse de droit international et européen
S. Af. YIL South African Yearbook of International Law

S Ct Supreme Court Reporter (US)
SC United Nations Security Council
SCSL Special Court for Sierra Leone

SLT Scots Law Times

Tex. ILF Texas International Law Forum

TIAS Treaties and Other International Acts Series (US)

Tulane LR Tulane Law Review

Tulsa JCIL Tulsa Journal of Comparative and International Law
UCLA JILFA UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs

UCLA Pac. Basin LJ UCLA Pacific Basin Law Journal

UKMIL United Kingdom Materials on International Law (as

published in the British Yearbook of International Law)

UKPC United Kingdom Privy Council

UNCC United Nations Compensation Commission

UN Charter Charter of the United Nations

UNCHR United Nations Commission on Human Rights UNCIO United Nations Conference on International

Organization

UNCLOS United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea,

10 December 1982, 1833 UNTS 3

UNHCR United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

US (in case citations) United States Supreme Court

Reports